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Florida Voters Start Trend Back Toward Constitutional Government

During the Thirties when Franklin D. Roosevelt was throwing his weight around all over the place like the straw boss of a section gang and ordering members of both Houses of Congress to vote for his "must" legislation, one of his most servile and spineless flunkeys was the goose-stepping Claude Pepper of Florida.
Elected by the voters of that great State to represent them in the United States Senate and, in such capacity, to perform in accordance with the Constitution which set up three separate and distinct branches of government, each to act as a check on the other, Pepper was one of the first to renounce his sworn duty to his constituents and become one of the administration's Montimer Sniders.

Last week the people of Florida by an overwhelming vote retired the Senator to private life and named George Smathers, World War II Marine veteran, to succeed him.

In no uncertain terms they told the New Deal puppet to take a long walk on a short pier or, in other words, to "get lost."
A large slice of the CIO million dollar slush fund was alleged to have been spent in the Florida campaign principally in rounding up Negroes in various sections of the State whom a Supreme Court "social decision" some time ago gave the right to participate in white Democratic primaries. This vote is generally supposed to have gone to Pepper.

Friends of the Southern Conservative in a certain section of Florida where large numbers of the March and April editions of the paper were circulated were kind enough to tell us that we helped in the campaign but much as we would like to claim some credit for the great victory for political morality in that State, we can't honestly feel that we are entitled to do so.

The people of the several States, and especially those in the South, don't take kindly to outside suggestions, or at least are not influenced by them and have demonstrated this by giving the CIO a nice big slap in the face every time it has interfered in a State election.

So, while it would give us great joy to think that we influenced even one vote in the right direction, we do not feel that we did. We were glad of the taxpayers, the appointment went to a

Attitude Of National Officials

Encourages Betrayal

Toward Senate Investigating Of Government Secrets

Any Disloyal Employee Probably Feels Assurance of Protection

Regardless of whether or not Senator McCarthy's charges that Communists are on the payroll of the State Department can be proved, it is clear that it would have been much wiser if the current investigation had never been called.

The strong defense made of such characters and the vigorous protest put up by the president, the secretary of State and other high officials against disturbing them even if they are operating within the government service, has no doubt given these subservives a feeling of security and freedom to continue their activities unabated, which they could not have had before the investigation was initiated.

Where they might have been doubtful and apprehensive before of being able to hold their positions by betraying their country by giving government secrets to its enemies, they now probably feel that they can proceed without hindrance, in view of official defense and even denial of their existence.

Any way, what would it profit us to remove a few pink points from the State, if the public and the government itself continue to be administered by a man who publicly demonstrates his esteem for the Communist by openly denouncing any attempt to expose them as a "red herring"?

Also, who is going to draw the fine, thin line between "Fair Dealism" and Communism or definitely point out where the one theory of government leaves off and the other begins?

A clear examination of the objectives of Stalin and those of Truman will reveal to any intelligent and observant student of government that there is very little difference between the political ideologies of the two states and that the distinction is only in methods of procedure and not in principles.

Regardless of what name it goes by, the only way that radicalism can be eliminated from the State Department, as well as from the Federal government, is for the Truman administration to be voted out of office lock, stock and barrel, the ship of state thoroughly fumigated, and good sound-thinking Americans placed on deck.

A good way to start this distasteful job is at the ballot box in the Fall elections when several Senators and Congressmen whose lack of allegiance to American principles and our form of government is responsible for the congregation of Reds in Washington, come up for reelection.

As for the Senate Investigating Committee, it was rigged from the start and the few unbiased and sincere members on it never had a fighting girl's chance of developing the truth about whether or not we were paying government employees taxpayers' money to sell us out to Communists spies.

Evidence of this may be found in the fact that it took eleven years to dislodge Alger Hiss from the government payroll and even then he quitted of his own accord and was indicted only because of the persistence of a courageous congressman from California who refused to be intimidated by opposition from high sources.

The appointment of the Chairman of the Senate Investigating Committee was a forceful demonstration of the vicious circle in which the government which operates to prevent unbiased consideration of any unwelcome conditions prevailing in any department.

Of all available men in the Senate who might have been expected to conduct a thorough and vigorous investigation and make an honest attempt to either prove or disprove Senator McCarthy's charges to the satisfaction of the taxpayers, the appointment went to a non-in-law of "Mission to Moscow" Davies whose book indicated where he stood on Communism, and a partner of the chairman of the so-called Loyalty Board whose duty was to pass on those suspected of being disloyal.

While this, in itself, does not reflect necessarily on the integrity of the chairman of the Senate Investigating Committee, it certainly prevents it from being regarded as an impartial body and the half-hearted performance of the chairman in going about the job does not add to his stature as an unbiased and open-minded investigator.

All of which proves what a foolish and utterly futile gesture it is to try to remove a Communist here and there from the setup of an administration whose policies, together with those of the preceding administrations, are responsible for the extraordinary growth of the cancer of Communism in this country and its spread into the very bloodstream of our national life.
LEWIS EVALUATES
ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF U.N.
ON ITS FIFTH ANNIVERSARY

On April twenty-fifth, the fifth anniversary of the organization called the United Nations was noted, but not celebrated, by the American people.

On that date the great radio commentator Edward R. Murrow, with his characteristic habit of ripping away all the trash and junk under the political propaganda and working his way straight down to the cold, bare facts of the situation, devoted a part of this most colossal flop in his history in his daily Washington broadcast.

With the special permission of Mr. Murrow, we reproduce his remarks on that occasion:

"To begin with, it might be well to observe that this is an anniversary—the fifth anniversary of the opening in San Francisco of the United Nations. This was an occasion that was planned, that was worked for, that was fought for, and that was achieved.

"And it was achieved very gloriously and quietly, then. The Russians still wanted things of us, and were going through the motions of being diplomatically tough. Mr. Alger Hiss of the State Department—having returned, just a few months before from Yalta, where he was chief adviser to the ill-fated President Roosevelt—arrived for the opening of the San Francisco show. As Mr. Hiss, all previous Administrations, the United States Nationalist Government had been thrust overheated and a secret agreement was concluded to let the Chinese into the Community. We were very careful, however, in the United States, under the friendly seals of Mr. Alger Hiss, to have the American organizations—especially called them one of the most important institutions of the world. Mr. Truman and Mr. Stalin both came to San Francisco, and under that safeguarding, one nation after another has been gobbled up by the villainous gangsters of Moscow. Half of Europe, most of Asia, is shackled with the chains of a dictatorship as ruthless, as bigoted, as cruel, as Godless and as God-hating, as our own.

"And the United Nations Organization, and has operated for five years and a half, safeguarding the frontiers, the freedom of the liberated nations of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of bringing together the men of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of bringing together the men of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world.

Other points included in Dr. Alexander's remarks were:

"Russia with its Communism has never been a standard of living as high as it was in 1913 under the Czarist regime. Socialism penalizes the fit and capitalism penalizes the sick.

"A Liberal is a man who plays God with the results.

"We have crossed the Rubicon into Socialism.

"And the United Nations Organization, and has operated for five years and a half, safeguarding the frontiers, the freedom of the liberated nations of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of bringing together the men of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world. It has not operated in its function of bringing together the men of the world, and in particular, the freedom of the men and women of the world.

"There were some, back in those days of 1945, who doubted that all the gleaming predictions about the future of the United Nations Organization, were quite realistic or practical, in the light of both history and present condition. But it has shown, by the way, that the Russians were totally and completely uncooperative, arrogant and untrustworthy. From the very beginning, they were using their influence to block consideration of any proposal for world peace. But it has shown, by the way, that the Russians were totally and completely uncooperative, arrogant and untrustworthy. From the very beginning, they were using their influence to block consideration of any proposal for world peace. But it has shown, by the way, that the Russians were totally and completely uncooperative, arrogant and untrustworthy. From the very beginning, they were using their influence to block consideration of any proposal for world peace. But it has shown, by the way, that the Russians were totally and completely uncooperative, arrogant and untrustworthy. From the very beginning, they were using their influence to block consideration of any proposal for world peace. But it has shown, by the way, that the Russians were totally and completely uncooperative, arrogant and untrustworthy. From the very beginning, they were using their influence to block consideration of any proposal for world peace.
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"There is no reflection on the personal integrity of Harry Truman. As an American and a Democrat, we are proud of the fact that he has been able to prove his ability to handle any enterprise. His conduct of the important business of the United States has been on exactly the principle which brought to light the fact that the government has taken too much of the credit, more expense than in- come. In private business this takes you beyond the contracted function of the business, it takes you eventually into bankruptcy.

"We were Congress itself composed of men who knew how to operate business successfully, presidential ineptitude would be of less concern. Congress itself would stop prejudicial policies cold. But Congress numbers few men who have not made politics their career. Of those who do not fall in that category, most have been successful in the professions rather than in enterprise.

"It would be interesting to know how many men in the executive department and agencies where understanding the great problems of the world, and those who have ever conducted a business successfully. This would include the Departments of Commerce, Labor, and the State, the RFC, the Interstate Commerce Commission, the SEC, the Federal Reserve Board, the Communications Commission, to name a few.

"The welfare of the nation, its ability to remain solvent, maximum employment of the American workers, all depend on the Congress as a whole, and on the Senate in particular. Depend on it in addition to the government, the professions of medicine, law, education, useful useful, in itself, the exchange and exchange of goods and services.

"That in the public interest business enterprise should be safeguarded against unwise speculation and injustice has been demonstrated in experience. But experience has shown, too, that the pendulum can swing too far in either direction. But to the business facing destruction, it matters little whether government or private enterprise is in control.

"You would not look for a jury of the insane to pass on sanity. But we think nothing of trusting regulation that may make or break industry to men who have never understood the basic principles of conducting enterprise successfully.

"We ask the Blind To Lead the Blind.

"At the head of the Government of the United States is a man who failed in the only position that was ever offered him. This is no reflection on the personal integrity of Harry Truman, as many an American and Democrat, we are proud of the fact that he has been able to prove his ability to handle any enterprise. His conduct of the important business of the United States has been on exactly the principle which brought to light the fact that the government has taken too much of the credit, more expense than in- come. In private business this takes you beyond the contracted function of the business, it takes you eventually into bankruptcy.

"We were Congress itself composed of men who knew how to operate business successfully, presidential ineptitude would be of less concern. Congress itself would stop prejudicial policies cold. But Congress numbers few men who have not made politics their career. Of those who do not fall in that category, most have been successful in the professions rather than in enterprise.

"It would be interesting to know how many men in the executive department and agencies where understanding the great problems of the world, and those who have ever conducted a business successfully. This would include the Departments of Commerce, Labor, and the State, the RFC, the Interstate Commerce Commission, the SEC, the Federal Reserve Board, the Communications Commission, to name a few.

"The welfare of the nation, its ability to remain solvent, maximum employment of the American workers, all depend on the Congress as a whole, and on the Senate in particular. Depend on it in addition to the government, the professions of medicine, law, education, useful useful, in itself, the exchange and exchange of goods and services.

"That in the public interest business enterprise should be safeguarded against unwise speculation and injustice has been demonstrated in experience. But experience has shown, too, that the pendulum can swing too far in either direction. But to the business facing destruction, it matters little whether government or private enterprise is in control.

"You would not look for a jury of the insane to pass on sanity. But we think nothing of trusting regulation that may make or break industry to men who have never understood the basic principles of conducting enterprise successfully.

"-Dallas Morning News.

RED SYMPATHIZERS
OPPOSE EX-PRESIDENT'S PROPOSAL

The suggestion of ex-president Herbert Hoover that the United States could be saved out of the disastrous condition of the United States he depicted and set up in its place the American Red Cross is similar to the immediate opposition from those who are always to be found denouncing and apologizing for Bolshevists in this country.

These consist of a group of men and women, who, as American ex-patriates, have opposed this country of Communism to adopt the principles of Communism and Codible society in this country.

They include some female columnists some "progressive Republicans" in the State like the one who wrote the "Die Fair Democrats whose attack on submarines was committed with those prevailing in the Soviet Re- public by a red sympathizer and a red bering".
The action of the Federal Housing Administrator in Washington who recently made such a fervent appeal to Congress to re-establish rent control in order that he might hold his job threatened us with a minor occurrence in our childhood days down on the farm.

Our family had an old red sow who through some mysterious gift of nature, gave birth to a litter of pigs which contained on one more member than she had facilities for feeding.

This meant that each feeding time, one of the little shoats would be crowded away from the dinner table and the squeals which resulted would resound to high heavens and would not let up until a bucket of milk was poured in a special trough to assuage his hunger.

And so it is with Fair Deal parasites who have been sucking the public teat so long that they are unable to make a separation from this source of sustenance, myself would like to do. I am not prepared to permit you to travel. a

Indeed, in the connection is the destruction of the price mechanism. Before the politicians usurped the right of the citizen to make a profit for himself, the price mechanism, induced with speed and certainly the degree of plenty or of scarcity, is the only way in which a community of experts and officials to discover changes and allocate resources and consumption, and the need for action accordingly. The mechanism has been so completely out of action that we now pay a series of voluntary prices for the same article which a few years ago could never have been

The natural process, named by economists, "the law of supply and demand," is a freedom of choice that is essential to the worldwide life of freedom. Socialism tries to put the matter the other way around. Some authority, claiming to know what the people want, makes orders for supply within being able to know the requirements of such buyers or demanders. This theory ignores completely the forces which are at work in the natural actions of the ordinary man. The only natural order of things requires that the maker shall produce his goods and display them for the inspection of the buyer at all times, free to choose, free to buy or not to buy is vital to individual wellbeing and, of course, to personal liberty.

It is only now that we are beginning to reap the inevitable fruits of wrong thinking. Millions of our people now look in the government much in the same fashion that their fathers of Victorian times looked to God. Political authority has taken the place of heavenly guidance. Herbert Spencer in that wonderful prophecy, "The Man Versus The State," explains in detail what would happen. He foretells with exactitude the present rush of weaknesses for jobs as policemen and permit holders, telling other people what not to do.

You will have noticed that while we are all under the thumb of authority, authority becomes composed of those who, lacking the courage to stand on their own pew money, and less and less of anything to do.

This government-protected "security" is steadily reducing output per man in our industries. There are, of course, glorious minorities who have believed the promises of fact, and people have believed on their good words and forgotten their responsibilities.

This is perhaps the most important point we have to keep in mind:

We have had enough experience to know exactly what "security" means: a price government claim upon salaries and wages; it is utter slavery bought with dangerous courage to stand on their own pew money, and less and less of anything to do.

The government-protected "security" is steadily reducing output per man in our industries. There are, of course, glorious minorities who have believed the promises of fact, and people have believed on their good words and forgotten their responsibilities. This is perhaps the most important point we have to keep in mind:

We have had enough experience to know exactly what "security" means: a price government claim upon salaries and wages; it is utter slavery bought with dangerous courage to stand on their own pew money, and less and less of anything to do.

There is little purpose to be served in weeping you with the decimals of our life, especially business life, in Britain to-day. To argue about taxes, pensions, housing, or even general poverty is merely to think about things which will be more important later. You will be more interested in the longer view and the lessons to be learned from it.

In the short period of 30 years we have traveled the whole road, starting when we had almost nothing to do with the trade, and ending where all trade is under the thumb of the state. As America, I understand, is about half a successful experiment in this road to disaster.

If the disasters resulting from governmental planning in the economic field put at the top of the list the loss of personal freedom. We have no such thing as personal freedom that counts for much in England today, so remote from willing buyers and willing sellers, has for practical purposes, disappeared. Government buying, fixed prices, subsidies, and purchase taxes have substituted force for willingness. Goodwill is a thing of the past. Prices, property, the result of a compromise between the willing buyer and the willing seller, is now replaced by an official abstraction arrived at for political rather than economic reasons. The word "willing" is not to be found in any official vocabulary.

The sanctity of contract is also a thing of past, and that again shows how far we have departed from the principles upon which civilization was constructed. Governments, here and elsewhere, fail to see any value on their pledged word, and perhaps the biggest is that my address must be read for me by another.

I understand that in the United States there is a sentiment which says that the machinery of government can be used as an instrument for personal responsibility on the part of the government, and it is only too well in Britain, is the open road to the ends it is supposed to reach. As I myself would like to do. I am not prepared to put myself into this curious and unexplained mystery. Perhaps the biggest is that my address must be read for me by another.

In England we have suffered nearly five years of effective socialist government. But that is only the end of the story, we are merely completing 50 years of a stately sentimentalism in public affairs of which Socialism is merely the logical outcome. In the process we have murdered the market, and will struggle with new deals. We mean, shallow-thinking, kindly people, aware of the scriptural injunction that "the greatest of these is charity," have failed to notice the distinction between the real articles and the empty show of other people's money. So, having lost our faith, we come to the end of the story, we have accepted false hopes and practiced a charity which is nothing of the kind.

You will remember that 50 years ago at the end of Victoria's reign, we had achieved in Britain, notwithstanding many shortcomings and blunders, a high general standard of living. From that proud position we have now descended to the point where American tourists coming to Europe by the countrysides by Hitler to escape the drab austerity of upstart Britain.
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CIVIL RIGHTS ONCE AGAIN SWAY HIGH COURT DECISION

The Supreme Court, whose members have time and again demonstrated their strong prejudice against the Negro, has added a fourth "social decision" and one clearly indicates that we are fast approaching a strong American sentiment against the Negro, to the point where Negroes are no longer to be treated as second class citizens, not only in the South, but throughout the nation.

There was never any question as to the Negro's guilt and the ruling was not made on this point. The murder itself, seeming to have been regarded as "immaterial and irrelevant" by the majority of the Supreme Court judges.

Civil Rights on which the Court has gone hog-wild and which appears to include murder as one of the privileges of minority race, was the point on which the decision was made.

Because there was no Negro on the jury, the defendant was set free to publish a book like "The Negro" which intimated his death for first degree murder.

No cognizance was taken of the fact that in the South we have gotten along for more than eighty years without Negroes on the jury and would still be doing it if Joe Stalin had not insisted upon the Negroes accepting "Civil Rights" through the medium of the Communist party platform.

At any rate, the eminent jurists on the highest court in the land, once again pointed up "Civil Rights" as the dominating factor in influencing the decision of the Court, that an innocent man lost his life in the transaction, is just one on him.

It may be that something constructive will come out of these continuous rulings in favor of one race against another. Perhaps it will ultimately force the organization of a group called "The National Association for the Preservation of the White Race", which can be shortened into the Institute of Americanism. It would shoot its writers into the picture every time it feels the white race is being discriminated against.

In the meantime, if a hundred is convicted of murder and it is discovered by the Supreme Court sages through the Institute of Americanism that the lawyer from a national organization of b i n d s that the jury consisted no member with a subscription, we assume that the case will be reversed and the hundred imprisoned.

Presumably this would also apply to Indians, Mexicans, Chinese, Half-breeds, Chinese and who commit a crime and then discover that they had wiggled out of it by pointing out that the jury failed to include their prototype in its panel.

HOUSTON WOMAN TALKS GOOD GOVERNMENT TO PUBLISHERS

American newspaper publishers in convention in New York recently were told by Oveta Culp Hobby of Houston that the "whole complexity of government has led to an overplanned economy, an over-governed nation and an overbudget economy.

The means must be found for eliminating waste, cutting taxes and simplifying government. She pointed out "if venture capital is to be free to provide an expanding economy in the United States.

She insisted that it was her intention to change "government officials and our forces because these weaknesses "are the result of the combined weakness of the public.

While she was obviously doing a little personal and diplomatic verbiage, she was trying to very helpful and encouraging that Mrs. Hobby has publicly aligned herself on the side of those who oppose waste and inefficiency in government.

If she has decided to permanently throw off the forces with which she was formerly fighting, she is going to try to serve the free enterprise system in this country and to bring about a return of constitutional government, she will be quoted as a valuable asset to such forces.

Mrs. Hobby is an exceptionally brilliant, able and intelligent woman who comes from a long line of straight-thinking Texans who would have been the last to accept the New Deal or Fair Deal ideologies or to betray her state through the "meas" which has forevermore this country in the past seventeen years.

We hope she continues to adhere to the policy of defending the cause of good government and which is in the power of influential women like her to effect, in a degree, the evil influence of some of the unsavory females in public life who are constantly spouting off about "social injustice" and who are more concerned in movements for supplying bobbins with the Bengalese than they are in helping to preserve our form of government.

So far as we have observed, this is the first time Mrs. Hobby has taken a definite stand in favor of sound American principles in government, but we hope it is not the last.

The great Houston newspaper of which she is the executive vice president turns out some grand editorials along this line, but, for some unexplained reason, employs persons on its editorial staff who are regarded as extreme radicals and who will trot out all materials to confirm to the large colony of friend sympathizers in Houston.

In the days when Texas sent its best brains to the United States Senate to represent the Lone Star State, Josephine Bailey made this assertion from the Senate floor: "If I have to choose between Fido and a fool, I will take the crook because there is a possibility of reforming the crook, but no hope of changing the 'soccer fool.' There is no chance of a Supreme Court justice or a presidential candidate being the "soccer fool." The eminent statesman never got around to restating what could be said by a people who find themselves saddled with leaders who both fools and crooks.

DALLAS WOMEN FORM AMERICANS FOR NATIONAL COALITION

A group of prominent Dallas women, who have formed an organization which they are calling Americans for National Coalition.

Regrettable is the fact that following the organization may or may not develop, the fact that women are doing independent thinking and seeking to stir others of their sex from their slughish commit a crime and then discover that they had wiggled out of it by pointing out that the jury failed to include their prototype in its panel.

Who Would Like Some Nice Dried Buzzard Eggs?

We have been wondering if the eighty-nine million dollars worth of dried eggs which the Commodity Credit Corporation is holding in storage in the nation, would be of any effective use. We might come out of these continuousellungen in favor of one race against another. Perhaps it will ultimately force the organization of a group called "The National Association for the Preservation of the White Race", which can be shortened into the Institute of Americanism.

When the Underwood tariff law was being written back in the twenties, the witnesses appearing before the Ways and Means Committee testified that "The Dried Buzzard Chinese who trade this product for export did not stop the Dried Buzzard Chinese who trade this product for export did not stop the buzzard's nests as well as that they also included snake eggs, turtle eggs and any other curry like bunch of dried macabre mixture which they processed and sold regardless of any effective use.

A Dallas man says that in view of the shortage of the product, he is going to plant Irish potatoes in his flower beds.
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Robber Barons in Washington Who Steal By Statute

Miss Vivien Kellett the Connecti cut manufacturer who won our un bountiful sympathy because she stood alone and fought the polit ical barons in Washington who steal by statute, is getting revenge to her cause in increasing numbers.

The first person in the United States Department of Agricul ture to make a tax collecting agency of his office, Miss Kellett's nerve has helped put steel in the spine of many citizens who, without the leadership and example, would have accepted this indignity along with another New Deal and Fair Deal gang has rammed down the citizens' throat.

Lately to condemn the despicable practice of the Treasury Department in giving the citizens a bad break, it ever finds its way into his pocket is the powerful Charlotte, North Car olin Oberved.

Coming from a state where the wild and reckless expenditure of govern ment money is based on the rotating the political morals of the people to such an extent that they spend whose money to use and allow a leading University in their state to offer a course in Communism, this editorial is significant.

The Fair Dealers have found the withholding tax on the earnings of the unemployed to get money out of the taxpayers without raising a storm of protest that they are now planning to do it on corporations and stockholders.

If the Fair Deal Group, whose chief occupation is thinking up new ways to get the most out of the American people, would be required to withhold their money because they do not declare it and pay it over to the government, every payment made is taxed on the stockholders.

The stockholder, therefore, would find part of his money taken by the government before he ever sees it, just as the wage earner or the salaried man gets only what is left after the government takes out of his earnings by a highballed and very questionable method of assessing.

Of course it becomes increasingly unwarranted confiscation of private property to which the government has no moral right and against which all the rights of a citizen.

Under our American system of jurisprudence and the tax laws, the tax on our Bill of Rights, the seizure of private property is justifiable only to satisfy a just and imperative public necessity, which must be proved, and it must also be proved that all reasonable means have been used to collect the debt and that the debtor will not pay, before any court will permit the seizure of the property.

But under the withholding tax, the government seizes private property where no debt exists. Taxes are not calculated on the basis of a man's weekly or monthly pay, but on the basis of his annual income. Therefore, no debt exists until the annual income is complete. This raises a serious question as to the accuracy of assessments according to the process of law or not.

Moreover, the withholding tax, is de mocratic in its design. He no longer thinks of his salary as the whole amount, not only as the part left after the govern ment takes its cut. The tax has become a part of the family income and he does not protest. When the taxpayer does not complain about his taxes, the govern ment is free to raise the tax more and more and spend more and more.

No wage earner has contested this seizure of 10 percent of his income at about $20,000.00 to take a case through the Supreme Court. The highest court of the land for wage earners is $2,000.00 for that purpose.

Moreover, with the Supreme Court packed as it is, we can expect no winning of the case if he did contest it.

If the withholding tax is applied to corporations and to their dividends, maybe we can't "Hiss" the Name of the Harming Any More

As the blood-curdling and spine- chilling revelations of wholesale treatment on the part of government employees continue to unfold, even the "good old order" in the "Lost "case" and others, one can't help but wish that we could return to the days of John Adams "that much vaunted" administration.

The comparatively minor misdeeds of Gaston Means, Harry Daugherty and such of those who have wavered around the "New Deal" and "Fair Deal" like vultures over a rotting carcase.

After all, the only cases charged against the hangman's rope of the Harding regime had to do merely with a certain generosity with extra folding money and no mention of their loyalty to their country was ever raised and by no means did they report Congressional instance.

From the war's outbreak in September, 1939, Mr. Churchill did his best to get the United States into the war—which, as a loyal British Finster shopping for any and every article, he had a right to do. But in Roosevelt he found a most willing helper, or er, say, boy—which Roosevelt had no right to be. Some specific instances:


2. The conference turned their attention to Japan. Roosevelt's plan was to hand the Japanese a series of demands which they could not resist. The delay in their acceptance of Japanese negotiations a month or so, while we say, "if not now, then when?" might have prevented a Japanese attack. How many more lives were required?

Roosevelt's plan was to hand the Japanese a series of demands which they could not resist. The delay in their acceptance of Japanese negotiations a month or so, while we say, "if not now, then when?" might have prevented a Japanese attack. How many more lives were required?

Churchill says he wrote the last paragraph of the planned note to Japan: "Any encroachment by Japan on the Southwest Pacific would produce a situ ation in which the United States Government would be compelled to take countermeasures, even though these might lead to a war between the United States and Japan."

"The note went to Tokyo a few days later. The note was taken as an ultimatum by the Japanese. Churchill's evidence shows that his mouth was not overdrawn. Roosevelt intended it as such."

Churchill says the note to Japan would have included 125 low-rent units for the Japanese government workers.

"We felt that in a time of prosperity there was plenty of incentive for private enterprise to fulfill housing needs without resorting to Federal help," a spokesman said.

Where are there to be approximately three million unutilized American farmers in the country and nine pouring in every day—many of them drawing pay for not working or enjoying some other form of American charity.

and marks when talking to Roosevelt a few mil lones, and the Atlantic telephone were "good, good," and "Ah. These American sub-pupils of Snod Roosevelt evidently are not professionals.

To Mr. Churchill, to the New York Times, and to Life, the American people owe a debt of gratitute for revealing the multitude of facts which, in the tenure of the numerous Churchillian pieces of evi dence, are not matters for amusement, but a great statesman and humanitarian. As the man who fought the war and the man who fought the peace, Churchill is the man who educated the world to humanity.

But two American friends, by the Churchill account, were almost as charmed with Mr. Churchill's Prime Minister's voice, his portts with expressions of regret from them; his speech to the Senate of grief that and minutes, any who must have been killed and wounded, (2,345 killed, as it turned out later).

Indeed, says Churchill, Winston's only re

Palestine Turns Down Federal Housing Project

The small city of Palestine in East Texas earned a place on the honor roll recently by refusing Federal aid from Washington in its public housing program.

In the manner of good Americans since the beginning of the Republic, the Palestine City Council refused, without granting or accepting the Federal housing project, which would have included 125 low-rent units for Palestine.

"We felt that in a time of prosperity there was plenty of incentive for private enterprise to fulfill housing needs without resorting to Federal help," a spokesman said.

Palestine, Texas, turned down the Federal housing project.
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HOW BIG IS A BILLION? (Contributed by a reader)
In these days we hear so much of a billion for this and a billion for that, and of government deficits running into several billions. It has been used so often as a basis that it rolls off the tongue with ease. But just how big is a Billion Dollars? Is it like just a small "1" with a string of zeros after it, $1,000,000,000.00? Recently somebody told me that a man born the same year as Christ, with One Billion Dollars to his credit, and who spent $1,000 a day, could go on in this way for 2,740 years. He would then be left with $2,318,120,000.00. If you are not convinced of the worthlessness of a billion dollars, you had better stop数 of $1,000 a day for 365 days, or one year. $ 365,000,000.00, $1,000,000,000.00.
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In a speech made in Portland, Ore., Rep. Ralph W. Gwin of New York, said: "What we call the 'Robin Hood' view of life, and it is a program which is pure exploitation, and pure rationalization. "Robin Hood" is not the same man who upholds the wholesome tendency of distributing the 'take' among the poor, but you notice he always rode an honest horse and had a well-equipped hunting shirt. "After we have exhausted the rich man, the corporations, and the creative group, then will come the working man."

The spathy with which the public regards the so-called financial mess our government is in is in proportion to the fact that we have a national debt of more than $20 billion. We also have very large governments, and to the high unemployment and business activity. Yet we will run a deficit this year larger than our deficit this fiscal year—and if some of the big spending "spend welfare" schemes which were tabled by Congress should be approved during the next fiscal year, deficits will probably be larger.

Any economist will tell you that we have just about reached the limit in getting money out of the corporations and the wealthy people—they are taxed to the hilt now. If more tax revenue is needed, the man in the street is the only man left to provide it. It is that man who has the greatest possible obligation to keep waste expense in government, and returning to fiscal sense.
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FAMOUS AUTHOR AND EDITOR PAYS TRIBUTE TO SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE
April 22, 1950.

Dear Mrs. Darden:

Enclosed herewith is my check for five issues, at a dollar per each, of The SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE. My conscience smites me, after recalling that line in the April issue—"How the Southern Conservative is financed..." It reads, "We are suffering from an oversupply of admirers who have showered our work with hosepipe spam who seem in no danger of becoming afflicted with check writer's cramp."

BURTON RASCOE
New York, N. Y.

WE'LL TAKE AMERICANISM

The following are definitions of different types of government under which nations live, or have lived in the recent past, sent in to us by Mr. George C. Charlton, prominent attorney of Tomball, Texas:

SOCIALISM: You have two cows. You give one to your neighbor.

COMMUNISM: You have two cows. You give both to the government and the government gives you part of the milk.

FASCISM: You have two cows. You keep the cows, the government gives the milk to you, and the government sells part of the milk back to you.

FAIR DEAL: You have two cows. The government shoots you and takes both cows.

NEW-FAIR DEAL: You have two cows. The government shoots one cow, milks the other and pours the milk down the sewer.

CAPITALISM: You have two cows. You sell one and buy a bull.

AMERICANISM: You have one cow and a bull. Just give nature a chance and you will soon be looking for a ranch to stock.

TRUMAN'S VETO OF THE KERR BILL

The Kerr bill, exemplifying Southern politicians from Federal legislation is understood to have had the full approval of Senator Scott Lucas and Speaker Summerson, and assured others interested in the bill that he would sign it.

When the advocates of government regulation and other beds who watch such things carefully took it for him and threatened him with the loss of votes in 1952, he did not back down, but again that some solemn promise he made it nothing more than an "Oklahoma Guaran­tee."

Southwide Rebellion Against Civil Rights Is Urged

At the meeting of States Rights leaders held in Jackson, Mississippi, on May 12, plans were laid for a fight for the segregationist position on the Truman administration.

The third annual meeting of the States Rights body was attended by representatives from 14 States and thousands of Min­hospitals were included in the gathering.

Resolutions passed at the meeting urge a Southwide rebellion against the passage of Civil Rights legislation and other meas­ures which clearly invade the rights of the Southern States to deal with their own in­ternal affairs.

An encouraging development was a re­port from the Anti-Imperialism Committee of the States Rights leaders which states that they have recruited on every college campus in Texas who will act as shock-troops for house-to-house work in the proposed intensive campaign for Southern States.

Other resolutions condemned deficit spending, socialized medicine, and other aspects of the "Fair Deal" administration.

DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES OF THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

The following principles on which the Southern Conservative is founded will appear in each issue of the Southern Conservative:

Those of us who are responsible for initiating the Southern Conservative plead guilty to the charge of being hopelessly old-fashioned as it is our privilege to lead. We have lived in a country with a blind and passionate devotion, above all others on earth.

We find ourselves without the common sense to change our formal govern­ment to conform to foreign ideologies or to attempt to re-shape it in the Soviet mold.

We are convinced that those who founded this Republic framed the great­est instrument for the government of men ever devised by human mind.

We wish to deny, before it is too late that we are schooled by any special interests or that our undertakings is just another scheme dreamed up to attract the support of big business and big labor.

In our discussions of State and National issues, we shall show no particular loyalty to the Truman administration, and shall continue to urge the change of that administration.

We are against the domination of government either by big business or big labor and we maintain that favoritism to either group on part of the State or National administration is un-American, immoral and a flagrant abuse of power.

As to political affiliations, we acknowledge allegiance to neither of the two major parties. As presently constituted, both are so shot through with radicalism as to render them incapable of representing the interests of the Southern conservative.

We acknowledge our indebtedness to the courageous and patriotic Coaliti­on Block of sound-thinking Democrats and Republicans in both Houses of Congress which has proven antagonistic influences from complete dom­ination of our Federal government.

We are opposed to the reckless spending of the taxpayers' money by incompetent, irresponsible and imprudent public officials who use it for personal gratification and privileges.

We are firmly committed to the principle of States Rights and we hold that the people of an ear of the United States should govern their own social traditions and customs without interference from politicians seek­ing to perpetuate themselves in power.

We are unequivocally in favor of Free Enterprise as opposed to govern­ment ownership of business and industry. We urge every person to seek to improve his social and economic condition through initiative, energy and thrift rather than leaning on the arm of government or a labor union.

On the other hand, we claim for the employers the irrevocable right to hire and fire his employees without instructions from any government agency or influence by Federal Post Office, or the post office department.

We feel that at this crucial time in history, it is imperative that newspapers and other groups seek in every possible fashion to rally every effort within their power to help preserve our republican form of gov­ernment, our free enterprise system and American way of life. We shall assert all our energies to that end.

These are the sentiments of the SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE and al­though ours may be only a small voice crying in the wilderness, we will fight for fundamental American principles, as we understand them, without apology to any man or set of principles.

MY NIGHT

By the Editor

I was very deeply grieved over the defeat of Senator Salt and I feel that the failure of the people of his State to reelect him in a great tragedy and definite blow to the cause of social reform in the South.

Aside from my personal friendship for him, I have always had strong admiration for the honest and upright manner in which he has enabled him to achieve recognition in Washington as a great Liberal who worked tirelessly for Civil Rights, FEPC, and other progressive legislation and, at the same time, permitted him to be regarded as a Conservative in his own State.

Since his constituency is composed largely of the provincial, ignorant and unedu­cated class which one so often finds in Southern States, I have always felt that Senator Salt's dual position on social legislation was perfectly justified.

In view of his superior judgment over that of the primitive people of his State, it is obvious that he was more capable than they of making social decisions in the interest of the greatest good to the greatest number.

Hereafter, when I am compelled to be in the South, the feeling of sadness which I always experienced when traveling in that backward area of the world, will be deep­ened by the realization that we are under the tutelage of an unenlightened system of government which permits illiterate voters to select their own representatives in Congress when the matter could be so much simplified by qualified organisations set up for the purpose, such as the CIO Political Action Committee.

I think that perhaps it was my feeling of frustration brought about by the defeat of Senator Salt which caused me to commit an unforgivable blunder last night when I opened the general session of Amalgamated Groups.

The mix-up came when I recognized Miss Ida Eaten Blubber, delegate from Greenland, who spoke for an hour on her resolution demanding that countries with sub-zero temperatures, be given priority in the free distribution of surplus concentrates by the central heating units by the Government.

I was greatly embarrassed later when I pointed out that I had previously addressed the Congress on the subject of theokracy for that quality of political astuteness which has enabled me to achieve recognition in Washington as a great Liberal who was re-elected last year for the Civil Rights, FEPC, and other progressive legislation and, at the same time, permitted him to be regarded as a Conservative in his own State.
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Mr. Dixon’s preliminary comments on the April “My Night” column were:

“It’s getting so I can hardly wait from month to month for the Southern Conservative to come out. A double-fortnight is too long to be away from my beloved Ida.

“My Ida, with whom I have never come face to face but whom I cherish and adore from afar, is Mrs. Ida M. Darden, the editor and publisher of the Southern Conservative.

“In her editorial bower in Fort Worth, Texas, where she directs the destiny of this publication dedicated to “a return of constitutional government”, she also does a column.

“It is this column that has made me Ida’s slave. It is headed “My Night” and if it has any resemblance to any other column of similar name, it is no coincidence.”

The April column to which Mr. Dixon referred follows:

A few nights ago I had the pleasure of renewing acquaintances of other days and reminiscing with many former friends when I entertained with a Maypole Dance in honor of a group of intellectuals in the State Department.

I had previously planned the affair for the first night of May but, due to pressing engagements, I was compelled to advance the date to conform to my lecture schedule.

My secretary, Miss Bobson, who has very clever and original ideas in such matters was in charge of decorations and designed the party favors.

These consisted of miniature globes indicating the State Department’s great sphere of influence and carried a motif of the hammer and sickle on one side and a group of dainty little fairies on the other.

The most attractive feature of the decorative scheme and the one which elicited the most extravagant expressions of praise and approval of the guests was the great assortment of pinatas grouped in charming arrangement throughout the house and grounds.

At intervals during the evening guests were favored with beautiful vocal numbers given by the various members of a group of tenor singers in the Department’s Near East Public Relations Division.

A note of culture and learning was contributed to the gathering by Dr. Cabell Gourdeau an economist in the Department of Agriculture whom I had invited to drop in during the evening and give his famous lecture on “The Effect of the Rays of the Moon on the Cultivation of the Dwarf Squash in Southwestern Rhodesia”.

Later this versatile expert discussed informally with some of the elder members of the group the subject of the over-production of potatoes in a Social Democracy and its relation to the devaluation of the British pound, while the younger and more recent guests took advantage of the beautiful moonlight night to enjoy in an exciting and spirited game of leapfrog.

The climax of the evening’s entertainment was afforded by the Maypole Dance which never fails to thrill and delight me with its glimmer, charm and beauty.

The grand prize for the most graceful performer in the group of dancers, a lovely hand-woven daisy chain, was unanimously awarded to a young man named A. Nance, who and who graciously responded to the compliment by rendering a beautiful and unique version of the Butterfly Dance.

Watching the rhythmic grace of his matchless performance in this most difficult of a termichopher repertoire, it was hard for the spectators to realize that this darkly handsome and brilliant young man regarded by State Department officials as one of their most valuable contacts and highest authorities on Queer Practices and Eccentric Customs among the Bantu Tribes in Equatorial South Africa.

Following light refreshments of caviar, borsch, black bread and vodka, our delightful evening came to a close.

Due to the lateness of the hour, I did not extend the courtesy which I invariably make it a rule to accord to everyone in which I happen to find myself and that is an invitation to them to ask me questions on any and all subjects.

I hated to deny this privilege to my charming guests but felt that they would understand my failure to share my knowledge with them when I explained that I had to make a midnight train.